**CASE FOR ENDORSEMENT**

**Agenda Item X.X [Insert title - To be completed by the Secretariat]**

**PART A: Summary Information**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Submitted by IRC(s)** | **Racing and Breeding IRC** | **Date** | **30th April 2021** | | |
| **SSO** | **Skills Impact Pty Ltd** | **Industry sector** | Thoroughbred, Harness, Greyhound and Equine and Greyhound Breeding | | |
| **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY** | | | | | |
| This Case for Endorsement proposes updates to 2 qualifications in the RGR Racing and Breeding Training Package.  If approved, this Case for Endorsement will result in:   * 2 updated qualifications.   The main driver for this project has been to update the core of the *RGR20221 Certificate II in Racing Industry* and *RGR40221 Certificate IV in Racing Industry (Jockey)* so that they meet the skills needs of the job roles covered by these qualifications.   * The RGR20221 Certificate II in Racing Industry has been revised so that it applies to skills required across all codes, including thoroughbred, harness and greyhound. It includes skills for understanding animal behaviour and welfare and working in a manner that is healthy and safe. With the removal of the ‘horse-centric’ unit in the core bank, the Certificate II in Racing Industry has now been made available to individuals wanting to enter the greyhound racing industry and those who intend working solely in administration where they will not engage with the animals directly. The number of core units has been reduced due to the removal of superseded BSB units, however, the number of elective units has been increased. The number of units required to complete the qualification overall remains the same. * The RGR40221 Certificate IV in Racing (Jockey) has been reviewed to reflect the expertise required to race thoroughbred horses. Industry feedback supports the removal of advanced level business skills as core units, as they do not reflect the job role. The removal of superseded BSB units initially reduced the number of core units to be completed however, the core units have now been increased as there were several prerequisite units which had not been included in the last revision. The number of units required to complete the qualification overall is now twenty-two. The qualification has been further updated to provide clarity to Registered Training Organisations for the entry requirements into this highly specialised qualification. | | | | | |
| **EVIDENCE OF INDUSTRY SUPPORT FOR THE PROPOSED CHANGES** | | | | | |
| * Eleven individuals provided their support for the proposed changes either during online meetings, via email or via the Skills Impact website * Three letters of support were received from industry stakeholders. These stakeholders are also members of the Industry Reference Committee * Copies of letters of support are included at Appendix G of the Case for Endorsement. | | | | | |
| **DELIVERY OR IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES** | | | | YES  NO |
| * There are no known delivery or implementation issues. | | | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **DELAYS TO ORIGINAL CASE FOR ENDORSEMENT SUBMISSION DATE** | YES  NO |
| * The original Case for Change was approved on 16th June 2020as a fast-track project * The original Activity Order for the project included an end date of 30 April 2021 | |
| **WHEN WERE THE QUALIFICATIONS/UNITS OF COMPETENCY LAST REVIEWED BY THE AISC?** | |
| * The qualifications in this Case were last reviewed in 2018 to update as a full review. | |

**PART B: Secretariat Assessment (\*To be completed by the Secretariat)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **HAVE ANY ISSUES BEEN IDENTIFIED WITH THE SUBMISSION?** | YES  NO |
| If yes, briefly describe the issues that have been identified. These may include:   * STA report by exception or significant concerns by industry and businesses * evidence of issues with consultation (scope, method, timing, transparency) * lack of evidence of industry support for proposed changes to training products * lack of evidence of streamlining/rationalisation of training products * inconsistencies with training package policy documents * concerns regarding proposed responses to identified delivery or implementation issues | |
| **SUGGESTIONS FOR HOW ISSUES CAN BE RESOLVED** | |
| * Briefly outline ways the Secretariat believes the above issues could be resolved. | |
| **SECRETARIAT RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE AISC** | |
| The Secretariat Recommends that the AISC   * **APPROVE** the XXXXX   This decision has been made based on consideration of the following criteria *(\*Secretariat to include an explanation when a project is recommended but does not meet all criteria identified*): | |
| Was sufficient stakeholder consultation undertaken? | YES  NO |
| Is there evidence of industry support for the proposed changes/training products? | YES  NO |
| Have stakeholder concerns/issues been appropriately considered and addressed? | YES  NO |
| Have any delivery or implementation issues been sufficiently responded to? | YES  NO |
| Has evidence been provided that the project will support the rationalisation and streamlining of training products? | YES  NO |
| Does the proposal align with the other skills ministers’ priorities? | YES  NO |

**Drafting instructions**

*Purpose: This checklist is designed to* ***highlight key information from the Case for Endorsement*** *to the AISC. It is* ***not intended to replace the Case for Endorsement*** *but to provide an opportunity for the IRC/SSO to put forward a succinct and clear argument for why the proposed changes/updates should be supported.*
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