

Game harvesting auditing project



Summary of Feedback, Responses and Actions

December 2019

This project includes the development of one unit of competency and one skill set within the *AMP Australian Meat Processing Training Package*. Draft materials were developed as a result of initial input from Subject Matter Experts and were made available for broader stakeholder consultation and feedback between 14 October and 18 November. During this time feedback was received via email, through online surveys, as well as in person at workshops or site visits, and by telephone. Input was received from five stakeholders around Australia, including one from Registered Training Organisations, two from Government bodies such as State Health Departments and State Training Authorities, and two industry representatives.

As a direct result of feedback received, a number of changes were made to the documents under review. Mostly notably:

- The unit of competency AMPG307 Inspect wild game field depot had an additional three performance criteria added, and others were reworded for clarity
- The wording for 'suggested words for Statement of Attainment' was changed to improve clarity.

[Visit the Skills Impact website](#) to view a full list of the documents that were submitted for consultation during this phase.

Below is a summary of the issues raised and how these issues have been dealt with. This involves a consideration of the information provided, views of industry stakeholders where known and views provided by the people who are part of the Subject Matter Expert Working Group process. Resolutions are constructed to take into account the needs and views of stakeholders to the extent possible, and to comply with the *Standards for Training Package 2012*. The resolutions may represent a compromise on one or more stakeholder views with the aim of a workable outcome for industry, State and Territory Training Authorities (STAs) and training providers.

The documents are now available to view and validate on the [Skills Impact website](#) until 2 February 2020.

Summary of feedback on units of competency

Game sector unit

Several minor wording changes were made to this unit as a result of the feedback, and some additions were made to the Performance Criteria.

Stakeholder Comments and Identified Issues	Consideration and Proposed Resolution
General comments	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Government, WA <p>Many thanks for the opportunity to comment on the Meat Industry projects.</p> <p>I note the changes are focussed on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diploma & Advanced Diploma in Meat Processing – 2 revised qualifications. • Proposed deletion of AMP50115 – the STA has checked and Western Australia had no enrolments in this qualification, so see no issue with deleting this. • Revising 17 units, the developing of 2 new units and the importation of 13 units. • Game Harvesting Auditing • Halal Meat Processing • Poultry Processing <p>We sent the information out to our stakeholders however have not received any feedback.</p> <p>If you have any queries please let me know otherwise we look forward to reviewing Draft 2.</p>	<p>Comments noted and appreciated.</p>
AMPG307 Inspect wild game field depot	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Industry, National 	<p>Should change AQIS to Department of Agriculture (in the Knowledge Evidence)</p> <p>The TAC considered this, however the reference to AQIS is a Meat Notice from 2009 when AQIS were still the regulator, so this should be retained.</p>

Stakeholder Comments and Identified Issues		Consideration and Proposed Resolution
• RTO, QLD	When 'game' is used the word 'wild' should preface it, as this is consistent with industry terminology	The TAC agreed. The unit has been updated to reflect this.
• Government, QLD	Food Production (Safety) Act 2000 (in the Knowledge Evidence, for QLD regulation)	This has been corrected.
• Industry, NSW	PC 1.4 "Prepare inspection documentation" Should be something here about confirming the scope of the audit including the relevant standards and legislation	The TAC considered this and agreed. An additional PC has been added: <i>1.2 Confirm the scope of the inspection</i> , including relevant standards and legislation, and remaining PCs renumbered.
• Industry, NSW	PC3.1 "Conduct visual assessment of the field depot to verify it is cleaned and sanitised with seals , free of rust or flaking paint, and that lighting meets industry standards" 'cleaned and sanitised with seals' sounds a bit odd... are you trying to say it is cleaned and sanitised and adequately sealed?	The seals refer to the depot having the correct seals on doors and windows for hygiene and sanitation. This PC has been reworded for clarity. <i>3.1 Conduct visual assessment of the field depot to verify it is cleaned and sanitised, seals are intact, the depot is free of rust or flaking paint, and that lighting meets industry standards</i>
• Industry, NSW	PC 3.2 "Confirm there are facilities available to ensure hygiene and sanitation, including adequate supply of potable water, hand wash facilities and facilities for cleaning and sanitising equipment." Ensure? Maybe to enable adequate hygiene and sanitation procedures or operations? Should there be anything about hygiene inspections and documented records?	The TAC considered this and agreed that 'ensure' should be replaced with 'enable'. Another PC has been added <i>3.3 Confirm that regular hygiene checks have been conducted and recorded</i> , and the remaining PCs renumbered.
• Industry, NSW	PC 3.5 "Verify temperature is monitored and recorded accurately" Nothing mentioned here or below about calibration	The TAC considered this and agreed. The PC has been amended to <i>Verify temperature is monitored and recorded accurately, and that monitoring instruments have been calibrated.</i>
• Industry, NSW	PC 4.1 "Check game carcasses are tagged correctly" Should there be records kept to enable traceability?	The TAC considered this and agreed. The PC has been amended to <i>4.1 Check wild game carcasses are tagged and recorded correctly.</i>

Summary of feedback on skill set

The only feedback for the skill set related to minor wording issues.

Stakeholder Comments and Identified Issues		Consideration and Proposed Resolution
AMPSS00066 Wild Game Harvest Internal Auditor Skill Set		
• RTO, QLD	When 'game' is used the word 'wild' should preface it, as this is consistent with industry terminology	The TAC agreed. The skill set has been updated to reflect this.
• Industry, NSW	<p><i>"Suggested words for Statement of Attainment</i></p> <p><i>These competencies from the AMP Australian Meat Processing Training Package meet the industry requirements for auditing (or inspecting?) a wild game field depot."</i></p> <p>Inspection audit (as opposed to a desk audit?) "</p>	<p>The TAC considered this. The skill set is not for a desk audit, but for a physical inspection. However it is not a regulatory audit, but an internal audit. The current wording of this section was confusing, and has been changed to:</p> <p><i>These competencies from the AMP Australian Meat Processing Training Package meet the industry requirements for conducting an internal audit of a wild game field depot.</i></p>